HIGHLIGHTS

- Significant milestone for Diatreme’s flagship Cyclone Zircon Project (WA), with agreements signed with leading mining services company China ENFI Engineering Corporation for the completion of Cyclone’s definitive feasibility study and its assistance in securing potential investors, offtakers and debt funders.

- Appointment of experienced mining industry executive, Mr Gregory Starr as an independent Non-Executive Director.

- Exploration drill results from reconnaissance drilling completed over dunes in the southern EPM area of the Cape Bedford Silica/Heavy Minerals Project confirm premium export quality silica sand potential, with an average grade of >99% SiO₂ for 69 samples of in-situ material submitted for analysis.

- Results come amid emerging Asian silica sand supply deficit, for project located near world’s biggest silica mine.

CYCLONE ZIRCON PROJECT (WA)

Currently the largest undeveloped zircon project in the zircon-rich Eucla Basin, Diatreme’s flagship Cyclone Zircon Project achieved a major milestone this month with the signing of Cooperation and Consulting Services agreements with leading mining services company China ENFI Engineering Corporation (ENFI) for the completion of a definitive feasibility study (DFS). Under the agreements, China ENFI will also use its vast network within China’s state-owned enterprise and banking sectors to assist in sourcing potential project investors, offtakers and project debt funding.

ENFI is backed by Metallurgical Corporation of China, one of China’s largest state-owned enterprises involved in the mining services sector, being a wholly owned subsidiary of the China Minmetals Group.

The agreements come amid an improving outlook for mineral sands, with rising zircon and titanium prices forecast for 2018 amid solid demand from China’s construction sector and from other emerging economies.
CYCLONE ZIRCON PROJECT (WA)

The Cyclone Zircon Project continued to advance towards development in the December quarter.

The project received final ministerial consent in early January 2017, marking the conclusion of an extensive de-risking process.

Diatreme signed a non-binding MOU with China ENFI Engineering Corporation (ENFI) in September for the completion of the Cyclone Zircon Project’s BFS. ENFI is backed by Metallurgical Corporation of China one of China’s largest state-owned enterprises (SOEs) involved in the mining services sector, being a wholly owned subsidiary of the China Minmetals Group.

Diatreme and ENFI successfully negotiated the terms of an agreement during the December quarter, and in early January signed two contracts comprising:

- A Cooperation Agreement, under which ENFI will use its network within China’s state-owned enterprise (SOE) and banking sectors to assist in sourcing project investors, offtakers and project debt funding;
- A Consulting Services Agreement, detailing the remaining DFS aspects to be completed by ENFI, including project costings and economics, engineering studies and implementation planning. Timeframe for DFS completion is estimated at five months.

The support of ENFI’s commercial and Chinese SOE networks is expected to be crucial in securing the necessary project partners for Cyclone, including investors, offtakers and providers of project debt funding. ENFI has also been provided with the necessary Cyclone project information to undertake the outstanding items of the DFS, which when completed will provide lenders with the level of confidence required to finance the mine’s development.

Mineral Resource and Ore Resource

Discovered in 2007, the Cyclone Deposit is located along the Barton shoreline within the northern Eucla Basin, 25 kilometres within Western Australia from the state border with South Australia. Cyclone is interpreted as a Tertiary beach strandline HM system with analogies to Iluka’s Jacinth/Ambrosia HM deposit in the eastern Eucla Basin.

The Cyclone Mineral Resource estimate was updated in January 2017 and is reported as 203 Mt at 2.3% HM (at 1.0% HM cut-off grade), containing 4.70Mt of HM.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>HM cut-off %</th>
<th>Material Mt</th>
<th>HM  %</th>
<th>HM Mt</th>
<th>Slime %</th>
<th>OS %</th>
<th>Zircon %</th>
<th>Rutile %</th>
<th>Leuco %</th>
<th>HITI %</th>
<th>Alt IIm %</th>
<th>Si TiOx %</th>
<th>Zircon Kt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CYCLONE MINERAL RESOURCE ESTIMATE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEASURED</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>1,079</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INDICATED</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>1,262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral Assemblage</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CYCLONE ORE RESERVE ESTIMATE</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROBABLE</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOTAL</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.52</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>0.57</td>
<td>990</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mineral Assemblage</td>
<td>28%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>22%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1 Notes

- Rounding may generate differences in last decimal place
- A constant SG of 1.7 has been used to derive material tonnes
- Slime refers to material typically <53um
- OS refers to material typically >2mm
- Mineral Assemblage derived from GEMSCAN® analysis
- Leucoxene (Leuc) – Ti-oxides containing 85 – 95% TiO2, HiTi - Ti-oxides containing 70 - 85% TiO2, Altered Ilmenite (Alt IIm) - Ti-oxides containing <70% TiO2, Si-bearing Ti-Oxide (Si TiOx) – Ti-oxides containing >10% silica rich Ti minerals.
- “Strand”, “Beach” and “Nearshore” represent differing geological domains based upon varying sediment grain size and sorting (i.e. depositional environment), mineralogy and HM grade.
An update to the Probable Ore Reserve was completed as part of the Project Enhancement and Update Study, with a Probable Ore Reserve estimate for the Cyclone Project reported as 138 Mt at 2.6% HM, including 0.72% Zircon, containing 3.52 Mt of HM, including 0.99 Mt of Zircon (ASX Announcement 15 June 2016).

**Project Enhancement and Update Study**
During 2016, DRX engaged Sedgman Limited, a leading provider of mineral processing and associated infrastructure solutions to the mineral sands industry, to undertake a Project Enhancement and Update Study. Sedgman reviewed work undertaken for the PFS and subsequent studies and provided an updated assessment of the process plant, some infrastructure and shipping costs and assumptions at a technical and commercial level.

This has provided Diatreme with a greater understanding of the project’s potential commercial returns, while current industry and market conditions provide an opportunity for cost savings on key capital and operating expenditures, compared to the Prefeasibility Study. The joint study has confirmed the viability of the Cyclone Project and provides DRX with an independent consultant’s financial analysis which shows improvements to the project economics.

**Environmental Approval**
Final ministerial consent (approval) was received on 9 January 2017 as Ministerial Statement No:1052, which allows the Cyclone Project to; “Develop and operate the Cyclone Mineral Sands Mine, including open cut pits, mining and processing infrastructure, airstrip, accommodation camp, bore fields and haul road construction from the mine site to the Forrest rail siding.”

The ministerial approval is an important step in a project de-risking process undertaken by Diatreme, which has included securing an agreement with the traditional owners, the identification of suitable water supplies and the expansion of the project’s forecast mining life following the acquisition of the adjacent Cyclone Extended tenement area.

**Market Upturn Underway for Mineral Sands**
Recent market commentary and analysis by industry researchers TZMI has pointed to increasing demand for heavy mineral sands such as zircon, along with constrained supply, which should ensure Cyclone comes into production amid a favourable pricing environment.

In September, major producer Iluka Resources increased its zircon reference price to US$1,230 per tonne, with analysts suggesting zircon prices will exceed US$1,300 in 2018. Research by Deutsche points to both zircon and titanium dioxide rising by a further US$100/t in 2018, amid improved demand from China’s construction sector and in other emerging economies.

A July 2017 report by analysts Beer & Co (available via Diatreme’s website) has also noted that the firming zircon market will support Cyclone’s development prospects.
CAPE BEDFORD SILICA/HMS PROJECT (QLD)

The Cape Bedford EPM17795 is located approximately 200km north of Cairns in North Queensland, and covers the extent of a large Quaternary sand dune field, part of which is currently being mined by Cape Flattery Silica Mines Pty Ltd (CFSM), a wholly owned subsidiary of Mitsubishi Corporation. Cape Flattery has operated since 1967 and is the world's largest silica sand mining operation.

The Cape Bedford / Cape Flattery region of north Queensland is dominated by an extensive Quaternary sand mass and dune field that stretches inland from the present coast for approximately 10km and extends 50km from north to south.

Previous exploration has focused on the Cape Flattery area, within the Mining Leases of CFSM, but reconnaissance exploration has been carried out over the entire dunefield in the late 1960's and again in the early 1980's. This exploration confirmed the presence of both silica sand and heavy mineral sands, and Diatreme intends to build on the existing data and initially target those areas (e.g. Nob Point) where prospective silica sand dunes have been identified and access is readily available.

The company executed a Conduct and Compensation Agreement (CCA) in January 2017, and a Cultural Heritage Agreement (CHA) in June 2017 with the traditional owners, the Hopevale Congress. The CCA allows access for ground disturbing exploration activity and ensures the traditional owners share in the potential economic benefits of this new project, while the CHA sets out the protocol for cultural heritage issues. Cultural heritage surveys for the first proposed exploration program were undertaken in August and subsequent exploration access granted in September 2017.

Diatreme’s reconnaissance samples confirm the potential of the widespread silica sand dune material to generate high-quality silica sand. Reconnaissance samples, together with the observation of HM slicks on some of the exposed beaches, suggests that HM mineralisation may be present at several locations within the EPM. Preliminary metallurgical testwork on a mineralised bulk sample from near Elim Beach indicates the sands to be amenable to the use of standard mineral sands process methodologies and equipment.

Reconnaissance Exploration

Following the process defined by the CHA, Diatreme assisted with a Cultural Heritage survey in August 2017 over the proposed reconnaissance exploration area in the Nob Point to Elim Beach area in the southern part of EPM17795. A reconnaissance exploration program was subsequently approved, and Diatreme commenced exploration in September 2017 utilising company owned and operated air-core drilling rig. Reconnaissance drilling was planned alongside established roads and tracks, with line clearing and reconnaissance drilling also planned over a dune system in the southern part of the EPM.

The exploration program was developed based upon encouraging results from historical exploration in the 1970’s and 1980’s (although this was itself reconnaissance in nature), encouraging observations from Diatreme’s site visits, and the ease of access due to several roads and tracks traversing the area.

During September, 29 holes were drilled along Elim Road and a related beach access track, for a total of 606m with an average hole depth of 21m. The logged geology was reasonably consistent in defining large areas of fine grained quartz sand, but sand colour was variable, with a variety of coloured sands particularly apparent towards Elim Beach. This is not surprising, as Elim Beach itself is known for its exposures of eroded high dune cliffs displaying “coloured sands”. Widespread, but low-grade HM mineralisation was observed in most of the roadside reconnaissance drilling.

The beach access track runs alongside a broad elongate swamp, and holes drilled here encountered quartz sands with a shallow water table (~3m) and typically white sands on surface and tannin stained light brown to brown sands below water table.

Holes drilled along the central part of the Elim Road returned good thicknesses of fine grained white quartz sands from surface, and assay results support the logging with an average 99% SiO₂ reported for the samples submitted for analysis.
A table of summary XRF assay results from the September drilling is presented below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hole_ID</th>
<th>East</th>
<th>North</th>
<th>RL</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Int.</th>
<th>SiO$_2$</th>
<th>Al$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>Fe$_2$O$_3$</th>
<th>TiO$_2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UTM Zone55L GDA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>m</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB013</td>
<td>314743</td>
<td>8308688</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>99.04</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB024</td>
<td>314094</td>
<td>8309126</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>98.67</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB025</td>
<td>313869</td>
<td>8309318</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>98.89</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB026</td>
<td>313400</td>
<td>8309518</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>98.80</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB027</td>
<td>312337</td>
<td>8310118</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>99.36</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB028</td>
<td>312094</td>
<td>8310134</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>98.99</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB029</td>
<td>311605</td>
<td>8310207</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>98.82</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The assay results are considered encouraging given they are as-drilled samples, with no sample preparation (e.g. washing, HM removal) as would typically be carried out during silica sands processing. Minor amounts of HM (ilmenite dominant) were observed during logging, as evidenced by the iron and titanium assay results reported.

Drilling along beach access track

Widespread, but low-grade HM mineralisation was observed in most of the roadside reconnaissance drilling, with a visual estimate of background HM grades averaging around 0.3% for the drilled areas. However, some holes showed higher levels of HM in visual logging, and 60 samples were submitted for HM analysis to assess the economic potential of the observed HM. Significant results are shown below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hole_ID</th>
<th>East</th>
<th>North</th>
<th>RL</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Int.</th>
<th>HM</th>
<th>Slime</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>UTM Zone55L GDA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>m</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB014</td>
<td>314809</td>
<td>8308933</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB016</td>
<td>315015</td>
<td>8309415</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Including

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hole_ID</th>
<th>East</th>
<th>North</th>
<th>RL</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Int.</th>
<th>HM</th>
<th>Slime</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CB016</td>
<td>315015</td>
<td>8309415</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB028</td>
<td>312094</td>
<td>8310134</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The HM mineralisation observed to date confirms the presence of HM in the region and the potential of the area to host mineralisation, but is not considered to be economically significant within the area currently being explored.

During October, 26 holes were drilled along cleared access tracks over a dune complex near Nob Point, for a total of 670m with an average hole depth of 26m. The logged geology was reasonably
consistent in defining large areas of fine grained quartz sand, but sand colour was variable throughout the drilled area of the dune system, suggesting a complex depositional (and erosional) history for the dune complex.

Several large zones of white, fine grained quartz sand extending over 400m in length along the dune ridges were evident from surface down to 30m depth, and although these do not form a continuous body of white sand along the entire length of the dune ridges that were drilled, they do have potential to represent a body of sand with sufficient size that may allow large scale sand extraction for commercial purposes.

A table of summary XRF assay results from the October drilling is presented below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hole_ID</th>
<th>East</th>
<th>North</th>
<th>RL</th>
<th>From</th>
<th>To</th>
<th>Int.</th>
<th>SiO₂</th>
<th>Al₂O₃</th>
<th>Fe₂O₃</th>
<th>TiO₂</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>m</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>m</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB035</td>
<td>315190</td>
<td>8305889</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>98.97</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB037</td>
<td>315213</td>
<td>8306114</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>99.37</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB038</td>
<td>315118</td>
<td>8306286</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>99.08</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB047</td>
<td>315002</td>
<td>8306977</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>99.41</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB048</td>
<td>315130</td>
<td>8306829</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>99.21</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB050</td>
<td>314554</td>
<td>8307180</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>99.32</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB052</td>
<td>314707</td>
<td>8306824</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>99.26</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB053</td>
<td>314820</td>
<td>8306666</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>99.26</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CB054</td>
<td>314904</td>
<td>8306507</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>99.50</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The assay results are considered encouraging given they are as-drilled samples, with no sample preparation (e.g. washing, HM removal) as would typically be carried out during silica sands processing.
Drill hole collars overlain on Google Earth – blue line EPM

The reconnaissance air-core drilling has allowed a general understanding of the dune geology, and returned results that confirm both the exploration potential of a significant silica sand deposit in the area, together with more localised occurrences of HM mineralisation.

Bulk samples of approximately 100kg each from six separate drill holes have been submitted for preliminary metallurgical testwork to assess the potential to generate a high-quality silica sand product from the white quartz sands intersected from the October drilling program. Unfortunately, due to the high work load in the laboratory test facility, results from this work are not expected to be available until February 2018.

Technical information relating to the reconnaissance exploration program is presented as JORC 2012 Table 1 appendix attached to this report.

**Exploration – next steps**
Planning for the next stage of exploration drilling in the southern EPM area is underway, with a vegetation survey partially completed in January 2018 to assess the presence of a threatened vegetation species within the NW extension of the Nob Point dunes drilled in October.

A second target area is yet to be surveyed, and given the timeline for the vegetation survey, reporting and subsequent applications for vegetation clearing, no further drilling is likely until after the tropical wet season (Q2 of 2018).
Compilation of the reconnaissance data together with a high-resolution satellite image (and related topographic data processing) that was acquired in September 2017, will facilitate detailed planning for the next stages of exploration.

During 2018, Diatreme intends to carry out regional reconnaissance drilling over readily accessible areas of the EPM. This proposed exploration will be subject to appropriate (cultural heritage) approvals being obtained from Hopevale Congress to proceed with exploration activity.

**CLERMONT COPPER PROJECT (QLD)**
A review of the Clermont project, primarily the Rosevale Porphyry Corridor (RPC), is continuing, with development of a proposed exploration strategy.

**GRAYS HILL PROJECT (QLD)**
Following a review of the exploration potential of the Grays Hill EPM25117, a decision was made to voluntarily surrender the tenement, and this was duly lodged and recorded as surrendered during the December quarter.
TICK HILL GOLD PROJECT (QLD)

The Tick Hill Gold Project comprises three granted Mining Lease No’s 7094, 7096 and 7097 (totalling 390ha). The Tick Hill Gold Deposit was mined between 1991 and 1995 by Carpentaria Gold Pty Ltd (a subsidiary of MIM Holdings Limited) for the production of 513,333 ounces of gold from 705,000 tonnes of ore at a recovered grade of 22.6 g/t gold (source: MIM – Annual Reports). This makes it one of the highest grade gold deposits in Australia’s recent gold producing history.

The transfer of the three ML’s to Diatreme Resources was confirmed by the Department in March 2015, triggering the commencement of the DRX Farm-In and Joint Venture Agreement with Superior Resources Limited (ASX:SPQ) over the Tick Hill Gold Project. Under the Joint Venture Agreement, Superior Resources has the right to earn a 50% interest in the project by:

- Completing $750,000 of exploration expenditure;
- Making a payment to DRX of $100,000; and
- Lodging 50% of the Queensland Government security bond on the tenements.

Exploration and assessment of the surface material within the leases (including alluvials, tailings and waste dumps) is to be conducted as a joint operation, with each party contributing 50% of the costs.

The Tick Hill Gold Mine operated from August 1991 through to March 1995, with commissioning of the site processing plant in December 1991. The plant comprised crushing and milling circuits delivering a product with a p80 of 70µm to a CIL circuit. Tailings were discharged into a tailings dam comprising two paddocks of a “turkeys nest” construction in which a perimeter embankment with a clay core retains tailings. Wall heights range from 6m to 10.5m. Since decommissioning the surface has been capped and both the surface and batters seeded, with good vegetation cover now present.

The total reported production for the Tick Hill Gold Mine was 705,000t at 22.6 g/t Au for 15,900kg Au at 97% gold recovery. Some high grade open pit ore was mined and transported to the Carpentaria Gold operations at Ravenswood to provide early cash flow to the project, this has been estimated at 20,000t based on the reported 19,000oz produced at Ravenswood in the 1991/1992 financial year (with head grades for that year of 30.2 g/t Au). This suggests that approximately 685,000t of tailings remain on site, with an estimated grade of around 0.7 g/t Au.

In January 2016 Diatreme announced a maiden Mineral Resource estimate for tailings material located within the rehabilitated tailings dam at Tick Hill (refer ASX announcement 19 Jan 2016). The Indicated Resource is estimated at 630kt at 1.08 g/t Au (at 0.5 g/t Au cut-off) containing 680kg (22,000 troy ounces) of gold.

In March 2016, Diatreme announced that a scoping study completed by an independent external consultant (Metcor) had confirmed the viability of a standalone operation processing the identified tailings resource. Tick Hill has the potential for a 20-month operation processing the tailings via re-grinding and a standard CIP/CIL circuit.

Metallurgical studies were undertaken to help determine the optimal grain size required to balance leach extraction rates with energy requirements for regrinding of the tailings. The cyanide leach testwork showed that gold extraction increases with increasingly finer grind size, but gold extraction of ~90% or higher can be achieved at grind sizes of around P80 35 µm and finer.

Ultra-fine grinding testwork utilising an Isamill™ was conducted to determine the likely energy requirements, with results reported slightly higher than parameters used in the Scoping Study, but further work is required to generate data suitable for use in feasibility studies.

Additional metallurgical testwork is required to allow detailed design of a process flowsheet, determination of capital and operating costs, and development of a financial model to further assess the economic potential for mining and processing of the tailings material.
CASH POSITION

The Company’s cash position at 31 December 2017 (Appendix 5B) was $159k*.

* The company has completed a private placement to sophisticated investors raising $490,000 and also received $120,000 from the exercise of unlisted options during January 2018. Total receipts during January 2018 were $610,000. These receipts will be used to fund the Cyclone Zircon Project DFS and provide additional working capital (refer ASX release 31/01/18).
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Appendix 1 provides information required under ASX listing rule 5.3.3 for mineral exploration entities.

Dated 31 January 2018

Company contact details:

Neil J McIntyre
Chief Executive

Tel: +61 7 3397 2222
Email: manager@diatreme.com.au

Competent Person Statements

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results from the Cape Bedford Project is based on information compiled by Mr. Ian Reudavey, a Competent Person who is a Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Mr. Reudavey is a full-time employee of Diatreme Resources Limited. Mr. Reudavey has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the ‘Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves’. Mr. Reudavey consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this report that relates to Exploration Results and Mineral Resource from the Tick Hill Gold Project is based on information compiled by Mr. Ian Reudavey, a Competent Person who is a Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Mr. Reudavey is a full-time employee of Diatreme Resources Limited. Mr. Reudavey has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity being undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of the ‘Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves’. Mr. Reudavey consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on his information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this report, insofar as it relates to Mineral Resources from the Cyclone Zircon Project is based on information compiled by Mr Ian Reudavey, who is a full-time employee of Diatreme Resources Limited and a Member of the Australian Institute of Geoscientists. Mr Reudavey has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he has undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of ‘The Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves’. Mr Reudavey consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on the information in the form and context in which it appears.

The information in this report, insofar as it relates to Ore Reserves from the Cyclone Zircon Project is based on information compiled by Mr Phil McMurtrie, who is a director of Tisana Pty Ltd (a consultant to Diatreme Resources Limited), and a Member of the Australasian Institute of Mining and Metallurgy. Mr McMurtrie has sufficient experience which is relevant to the style of mineralisation and type of deposit under consideration and to the activity which he has undertaken to qualify as a Competent Person as defined in the 2012 Edition of ‘The Australasian Code for Reporting of Exploration Results, Mineral Resources and Ore Reserves’. Mr McMurtrie consents to the inclusion in the report of the matters based on the information in the form and context in which it appears.
APPENDIX 1

Appendix 1 provides information required under ASX listing rule 5.3.3 for mineral exploration entities.

### Mining tenements held at the end of the quarter and their location

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Tenement Name</th>
<th>Tenement ID</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Interest</th>
<th>Holder</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>Cyclone M69/141</td>
<td>Eucla Basin</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>LSPL</td>
<td>Granted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>Cyclone Extended R69/1</td>
<td>Eucla Basin</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>DRX</td>
<td>Granted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QLD</td>
<td>Cape Bedford EPM17979</td>
<td>Hopevale</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>CHAL</td>
<td>Granted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QLD</td>
<td>Tick Hill ML7094</td>
<td>Duchess</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>DRX</td>
<td>Granted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QLD</td>
<td>Tick Hill ML7096</td>
<td>Duchess</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>DRX</td>
<td>Granted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QLD</td>
<td>Tick Hill ML7097</td>
<td>Duchess</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>DRX</td>
<td>Granted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Mining tenements acquired and disposed of during the quarter and their location

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Tenement Name</th>
<th>Tenement ID</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Interest</th>
<th>Holder</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QLD</td>
<td>Grays Hill EPM25117</td>
<td>Yeppoon</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>DRX</td>
<td>Surrendered</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Beneficial percentage interests held in farm-in or farm-out agreements at end of the quarter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Agreement Type</th>
<th>Parties</th>
<th>Interest held at end of quarter by exploration entity</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WA</td>
<td>Cyclone Zircon Project</td>
<td>Farm-out Heads of Agreement</td>
<td>LSPL and Perpetual Mining Holding Limited</td>
<td>94% HoA announced Jan 2014, initial 6% farm-out completed 18 Sept 2014</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QLD</td>
<td>Tick Hill Gold Project</td>
<td>Farm-out and Joint Venture Agreement</td>
<td>DRX and Superior Resources Limited</td>
<td>100% Proposed JV announced Aug 2011, formal Agreement announced Jun 2013, Joint Venture commenced Jan 2015</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Beneficial percentage interests in farm-in or farm-out agreements acquired or disposed of during the quarter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State</th>
<th>Project Name</th>
<th>Agreement Type</th>
<th>Parties</th>
<th>Interest held at end of quarter by exploration entity</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations:
- E Western Australia: Exploration Licence
- M Western Australia: Mining Lease
- R Western Australia: Retention Licence
- EPM Queensland: Exploration Permit for Minerals
- ML Queensland: Mining Lease
- DRX - Diatreme Resources Limited
- CHAL – Chalcophile Resources Pty Ltd
- LSPL – Lost Sands Pty Ltd
### Section 1 Sampling Techniques and Data

(Criteria in this section apply to all succeeding sections.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>JORC Code explanation</th>
<th>Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Sampling techniques**      | • Nature and quality of sampling (eg cut channels, random chips, or specific specialised industry standard measurement tools appropriate to the minerals under investigation, such as down hole gamma sondes, or handheld XRF instruments, etc.). These examples should not be taken as limiting the broad meaning of sampling.  
  • Include reference to measures taken to ensure sample representivity and the appropriate calibration of any measurement tools or systems used.  
  • Aspects of the determination of mineralisation that are Material to the Public Report.  
  • In cases where ‘industry standard’ work has been done this would be relatively simple (eg ‘reverse circulation drilling was used to obtain 1m samples from which 3 kg was pulverised to produce a 30 g charge for fire assay’). In other cases more explanation may be required, such as where there is coarse gold that has inherent sampling problems. Unusual commodities or mineralisation types (eg submarine nodules) may warrant disclosure of detailed information. | • Sampling techniques are mineral sands “industry standard” for dry beach sands with low levels of induration and slime.  
• Samples are 3m down hole intervals of air-core drill cuttings collected from cyclone mounted rotary splitter, approximately 3-4kg (representing ~20%) of drill material is sampled.                                                                 |
| **Drilling techniques**      | • Drill type (eg core, reverse circulation, open-hole hammer, rotary air blast, auger, Bangka, sonic, etc) and details (eg core diameter, triple or standard tube, depth of diamond tails, face-sampling bit or other type, whether core is oriented and if so, by what method, etc.). | • Vertical NQ air-core drilling utilizing blade bit, 3m drill runs                                                                                                                                                               |
| **Drill sample recovery**    | • Method of recording and assessing core and chip sample recoveries and results assessed.  
• Measures taken to maximise sample recovery and ensure representative nature of the samples.  
• Whether a relationship exists between sample recovery and grade and whether sample bias may have occurred due to preferential loss/gain of fine/coarse material. | • Visual assessment and logging of sample recovery and sample quality  
• Reaming of hole and clearance of drill string after every 3m drill rod  
• Sample chute cleaned between samples and regular cleaning of cyclone to prevent sample contamination  
• No relationship is evident between sample recovery and grade                                                                                          |
| **Logging**                  | • Whether core and chip samples have been geologically and geotechnically logged to a level of detail to support appropriate Mineral Resource estimation, mining studies and metallurgical studies.  
• Whether logging is qualitative or quantitative in nature. Core (or costean, channel, etc) photography.  
• The total length and percentage of the relevant intersections logged. | • Geological logging of the total hole by field geologist, with retention of sample in chip trays to allow subsequent re-interpretation of data  
• The total hole is logged; logging includes colour, grain size, sorting, induration and estimates of HM, slimes and oversize utilizing panning.  
• Logging is captured in Micromine data tables, with daily update of field database and regular update of master database. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>JORC Code explanation</th>
<th>Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Sub-sampling techniques and sample preparation | • If core, whether cut or sawn and whether quarter, half or all core taken.  
• If non-core, whether riffled, tube sampled, rotary split, etc and whether sampled wet or dry.  
• For all sample types, the nature, quality and appropriateness of the sample preparation technique.  
• Quality control procedures adopted for all sub-sampling stages to maximise representivity of samples.  
• Measures taken to ensure that the sampling is representative of the in-situ material collected, including for instance results for field duplicate/second-half sampling.  
• Whether sample sizes are appropriate to the grain size of the material being sampled. | • Rotary split on site (approx. 80:20), resulting in approximately 3 – 4kg of dry sample  
• Sample was coned and quartered to generate a sample for submission to the laboratory, with surplus retained as a reference sample.  
• Sample size is considered appropriate for the material sampled |
| Quality of assay data and laboratory tests | • The nature, quality and appropriateness of the assaying and laboratory procedures used and whether the technique is considered partial or total.  
• For geophysical tools, spectrometers, handheld XRF instruments, etc, the parameters used in determining the analysis including instrument make and model, reading times, calibrations factors applied and their derivation, etc.  
• Nature of quality control procedures adopted (eg standards, blanks, duplicates, external laboratory checks) and whether acceptable levels of accuracy (ie lack of bias) and precision have been established. | • Samples were submitted to ALS Townsville, where they were dried, weighed and split.  
• Analysis was undertaken by ALS Brisbane utilizing a Tungsten Carbide pulverization, ME-XRF26 (whole rock by Fusion/XRF) and ME-GRA05 ($H_2O$/LOI by TGA furnace) |
| Verification of sampling and assaying | • The verification of significant intersections by either independent or alternative company personnel.  
• The use of twinned holes.  
• Documentation of primary data, data entry procedures, data verification, data storage (physical and electronic) protocols.  
• Discuss any adjustment to assay data. | • Significant intersections validated against geological logging and local geology / geological model.  
• Due to the reconnaissance nature of exploration no twinned holes have been completed at this stage.  
• All data captured and stored in both hard copy and electronic format. |
| Location of data points | • Accuracy and quality of surveys used to locate drill holes (collar and down-hole surveys), trenches, mine workings and other locations used in Mineral Resource estimation.  
• Specification of the grid system used.  
• Quality and adequacy of topographic control. | • All holes initially located using handheld GPS with an accuracy of 5m for X,Y.  
• UTM coordinates, Zone 55L, GDA94 datum.  
• Topographic surface generated from processing Stereo WorldView-3 satellite imagery and DGPS control points, collar RL’s levelled against this surface to ensure consistency in the database. |
| Data spacing and distribution | • Data spacing for reporting of Exploration Results.  
• Whether the data spacing and distribution is sufficient to establish the degree of geological and grade continuity appropriate for the Mineral Resource and Ore Reserve estimation procedure(s) and classifications applied.  
• Whether sample compositing has been applied. | • Drill lines were completed at ~200m spacing along the road verge where sufficient width was available to allow safe operation of the drill rig.  
• Drill spacing and distribution is not sufficient to allow valid interpretation of geological and grade continuity for Mineral Resource estimation  
• No sample compositing (down hole) has been undertaken at this time. |
## Criteria

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>JORC Code explanation</th>
<th>Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Orientation of data in relation to geological structure** | • Whether the orientation of sampling achieves unbiased sampling of possible structures and the extent to which this is known, considering the deposit type.  
• If the relationship between the drilling orientation and the orientation of key mineralised structures is considered to have introduced a sampling bias, this should be assessed and reported if material. | • The road alignment is variable and there is insufficient knowledge of the dune geology at this time to comment on unbiased sampling  
• The dune field has ridges dominantly trending 320° - 330°. |
| **Sample security** | • The measures taken to ensure sample security. | • Sample collection and transport from the field was undertaken by company personnel following company procedures.  
• Samples were delivered direct to ALS in Townsville. |
| **Audits or reviews** | • The results of any audits or reviews of sampling techniques and data. | • There has been no audit or review of sampling techniques and data at this time. |
### Section 2 Reporting of Exploration Results

(Criteria listed in the preceding section also apply to this section.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>JORC Code explanation</th>
<th>Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mineral tenement and land tenure status</td>
<td>Type, reference name/number, location and ownership including agreements or material issues with third parties such as joint ventures, partnerships, overriding royalties, native title interests, historical sites, wilderness or national park and environmental settings.</td>
<td>The Cape Bedford Project occurs within EPM17795 in Queensland and is held by Diatreme Resources. The tenement is in good standing. A Compensation and Conduct Agreement, and a Cultural Heritage Agreement is in place with the landholder and native title party (Hopevale Congress).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploration done by other parties</td>
<td>Acknowledgment and appraisal of exploration by other parties.</td>
<td>Previous exploration has been carried out in the area during the 1970’s by Ocean Mining and 1980’s by Breen Organisation. The historical exploration data is of limited use since it comprises shallow hand auger drilling and is often not accurately located.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geology</td>
<td>Deposit type, geological setting and style of mineralisation.</td>
<td>The geology comprises variably re-worked aeolian sand dune deposits associated with a Quaternary age sand dune complex. Mineralisation occurs within aeolian dune sands.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Drill hole Information | A summary of all information material to the understanding of the exploration results including a tabulation of the following information for all Material drill holes:  
- easting and northing of the drill hole collar  
- elevation or RL (Reduced Level – elevation above sea level in metres) of the drill hole collar  
- dip and azimuth of the hole  
- down hole length and interception depth  
- hole length.  
- If the exclusion of this information is justified on the basis that the information is not Material and this exclusion does not detract from the understanding of the report, the Competent Person should clearly explain why this is the case. | A tabulation of the material drill holes is presented in the main body of this report. |
| Data aggregation methods | In reporting Exploration Results, weighting averaging techniques, maximum and/or minimum grade truncations (eg cutting of high grades) and cut-off grades are usually Material and should be stated.  
Where aggregate intercepts incorporate short lengths of high grade results and longer lengths of low grade results, the procedure used for such aggregation should be stated and some typical examples of such aggregations should be shown in detail.  
The assumptions used for any reporting of metal equivalent values should be clearly stated. | The assay data presented for the silica sand is an arithmetic average of the 3m individual sample results. No minimum of maximum grade truncations have been used. The grade is relatively consistent and the aggregate intercepts use a simple arithmetic average. |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>JORC Code explanation</th>
<th>Commentary</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Relationship between mineralisation widths and intercept lengths | • These relationships are particularly important in the reporting of Exploration Results.  
• If the geometry of the mineralisation with respect to the drill hole angle is known, its nature should be reported.  
• If it is not known and only the down hole lengths are reported, there should be a clear statement to this effect (e.g. ‘down hole length, true width not known’). | • As the mineralisation is associated with aeolian dune sands the majority will be essentially horizontal, some variability will be apparent on dune edges and faces.  
• All drilling is vertical; hence the drill intersection is essentially equivalent to the true width of mineralisation. |
| Diagrams | • Appropriate maps and sections (with scales) and tabulations of intercepts should be included for any significant discovery being reported. These should include, but not be limited to a plan view of drill hole collar locations and appropriate sectional views. | • A map of the drill collar locations is incorporated with the main body of the announcement. Representative cross-sections are not attached as there is insufficient drilling at this time to generate meaningful sections. |
| Balanced reporting | • Where comprehensive reporting of all Exploration Results is not practicable, representative reporting of both low and high grades and/or widths should be practiced to avoid misleading reporting of Exploration Results. | • All exploration assay results have been reported at this time. |
| Other substantive exploration data | • Other exploration data, if meaningful and material, should be reported including (but not limited to): geological observations; geophysical survey results; geochemical survey results; bulk samples – size and method of treatment; metallurgical test results; bulk density, groundwater, geotechnical and rock characteristics; potential deleterious or contaminating substances. | • Geological observations are consistent with aeolian dune mineralisation  
• No bulk density measurements have been undertaken  
• Abundant groundwater was intersected during drilling, as expected given the location of drilling adjacent to an interdunal swamp.  
• The mineralisation is unconsolidated sand  
• There are no known deleterious substances at this time. |
| Further work | • The nature and scale of planned further work (e.g. tests for lateral extensions or depth extensions or large-scale step-out drilling).  
• Diagrams clearly highlighting the areas of possible extensions, including the main geological interpretations and future drilling areas, provided this information is not commercially sensitive. | • Additional drilling to test for lateral extensions of mineralisation are planned.  
• The areas of possible extensions are considered to be potentially politically and culturally sensitive, and not appropriate for publishing at this time. |